Can medium-sized domestic enterprises reduce the FDI-dependency of Hungarian manufacturing?
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Main questions

- Which way forward for Hungarian manufacturing?
- Outcomes and limitations of the FDI-led development path
- The German „Mittelstand” model as a partial development alternative
  - The Hungarian ME sector
  - MEs in different regional contexts
  - Potential development role: potential and barriers
- Background: research project on Hungarian MEs
- Context: varieties of capitalism debate, evolutionary economic geography
The „DME” variety of capitalism in Central European manufacturing

- Distinct variety instead of LME or CME model
- „Prefab”, easy-to-adapt competitive advantages
  - technology transfer
  - modern mgmt. and QA principles
  - global market embeddedness
  - advantageous capital finance
- Divison of labour
  - from low towards mid-range jobs and activities
  - ongoing upgrading & growing factor intensity in select branches
  - emerging supply networks (FDI-based, PL: more domestic)
  - missing high-VA segments of the value chain, C&C, R&D and basic (vs. shop-floor) innovation, etc.
  - capital movement risks, crowding-out & congest. efffects
  - weak capital accumulation, growing social issues (out-migration)
The „DME” variety of capitalism in Central European manufacturing

- …successful sites build on local sources of competitiveness & **reconfigure** local socio-economic networks
- Path renewal vs. peripheral „hollowing-out”
- FDI dependency:
  - FDI in GDP (Nölke – Vliegenthart, 2007):
    - HU 52%, CZ 48%, SK 32%, PL 25%
    - reference countries: AT 23%, DE 16%
    - higher in manufacturing!
    - import content in manufacturing exports (2009): HU 52%, CZ 44%, SK 40%, PL 33%
- …and its increases after the crisis
  - Foreign added value in Hungarian manufacturing firms:
    - 2008: 60%
    - 2012: 66%
    - 2015: 70%

- Is the model successful? Sustainable?
Growing scholarly interest in medium-sized enterprises

- The German **Mittelstand**: a successful example of the „high road”
  - „the second pillar” of German manufacturing
  - specialisation on high-VA specialised goods for global niche markets
  - long-term strategic orientation, reliance on endogenous capital
  - reliance on skilled high-waged labour, long-term contracts, dual voc. training
  - **Geographies**: 70% found in small towns or rural areas, strong local network-building (clusters, chambers of industry & commerce, voc./higher ed, etc.)

- Adapting the model across Europe…
  - growing interest after the crisis
  - France, after the end of state-led „technological Colbertism”
  - Great Britain, in de-industrialised regions in the vacuum after large enterprises
  - Italy, as „the fourth capitalism”, 48% emerged between 2000 and 2012, 66% from consolidating industrial districts, 15% corporate spinoff (32% of 3200 MEs in Lombardia; good position of Emilia-Romagna, Veneto & Third Italy)
Adapting the Mittelstand model

- in Central Europe?
  - dominance of micro-enterprises (HU 2014: 588k enterprises, 554k micro-, from remaining 34,600 → 29,250 small ➔ only 4,500 medium & 867 large firms!)
  - enterprises typically rely on generic, „soft” competitiveness factors (Szerb et al)
  - research should be extended to potential MEs (30 to 50 employees)

- In Hungary?
  - shrinking number of firms: 2000→3200 (250k workers), 2013→2700 (212k workers)
  - even geographies, follow pop. distribution ←→ concentrated FDI-based industry
  - several MEs outside large city agglomerations, in towns and rural areas → can they reduce centre-periphery relationships?
(Relative) winners: metropolitan capital region (C Hungary), rebounding manufacturing region (C Transdanubia)
Greater shrinkage in under-industrialised regions (S Great Plain, S Transdanubia), main industrial crisis region (N Hungary), and FDI-driven manufacturing region (W Transd.)
Geographic distribution & crisis years show vulnerability to exogenous shocks
Comparison of LQ and RCA indices

Mapping region – industry combinations
- N Great Plain food industry
- N Hungary metal industry
- C Transdanubia machinery
- C Hungary electronics

These are path-dependent (historical) spec patterns! +

Three regions have no effective combinations
- S Transdanubia, S Great Plain: de-industrialised, mixed structure
- W Transdanubia: most successful industrial region, high FDI investments (crowding-out & congestion effects)
Firm interviews: general results

- Typically family firms („garage”) + some post-socialist „survivor” firms, very little evidence of „gazelles”, „unicorns” or venture capital
- Slow, gradual growth in capital-poor environment, gradual acquisition of core staff, machinery, production site
- **Path-dependent development**, carriers of local skills and industrial history
  - Challenge: „carrying it forward”, **path renewal**
- Core comp. in niche markets (**Mittelstand**) vs. general goods (**Italian SMEs**)  
- Competitive adv: flexibility, product quality, special competences
- Internationalisation is above average, **strong export orientation** towards DE, AT, IT, followed by domestic sales
MEs in the local business environment

- Mostly satisfied with local business infrastructure
- A few MEs have expanded outside Hungary (Romania, Ukraine)
- Some senior executives have grown into the role of local business leaders
  - proactive role in clusters, local/regional development coalitions and urban regimes
- Another group is „hiding”, preferring minimal contact with the state
- These relationships show strong differences among case studies
- After long period of mutual isolation, local cooperation is increasing (defensive and offensive strategies)
- Complaint: over-funding of multinationals
Strategies & future orientation

- Ongoing, in-depth restructuring from contract manufacturing & generics towards higher-VA production, profile diversification in multiple companies ➔ Mittelstand patterns
- See future in automation, infrastructural development, continuous improvement
- Labour shortages mean **growth ambitions may remain unrealised**
- Some owners don’t wish to grow (horizontal „division”, firm networks)
- Challenge of generational change as „1990 entrepreneurs” retire ➔ keep it in the family, occasional promotion of SR managers or sale to external investors
- Two significant **growth barriers**, examples of failures
  - lower barrier (small enterprise ➔ ME)
  - upper barrier (ME ➔ large company)
Regional differences

- Paradox: strongest similarities to the German model were found in peripheral S. Transdanubia
- We found much fewer MEs in the W. Transdanubian case (crowding-out / congestion effects)
- Dunaújváros case: few MEs in a steelmaking town dominated by large enterprises ➔ stifling effect, low VA

- S. Great Plains Case: the emergence of a „hidden champion”
  - good fundamentals + positive exogenous shock (Mercedes plant) + followup public investments ➔ „rising water lifts all ships”
  - diversified industrial structure, but stronger light & food industry traditions
  - these MEs have a lower resemblance to the German Mittelstand – less niche orientation, more emphasis on the domestic market
Conclusions

- MEs are a shrinking and consolidating part of Hungarian industry
- They represent an early stage of high-road development
- Benefits to reg. development: capital accumulation, strategic orientation, influence on local business environment
- Can’t replace FDI, but can diversify and contribute to the resilience of minor cities & towns → (re-)specialisation, development coalitions, urban regimes
- In European context, these are still fairly young, fairly precarious firms
- Generational change, labour supply and I4.0 are the main challenges
- Industrial policy in Hungary can’t create new national champions, but it can support the expansion and growth of MEs
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